

United States Patent and Trademark Office

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/673,664	09/29/2003	David Haase	EMC-03-100	2361
24227	7590 10/20/2005		EXAM	INER
EMC CORPORATION			FARROKH, HASHEM	
OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL 176 SOUTH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOPKINTON, MA 01748			2187	

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·1					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
0554.450	10/673,664	HAASE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hashem Farrokh	2187			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appreciate for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Se	eptember 2003.				
, =					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.	•			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Application	on No			
application from the International Bureau	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	d III tilis National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d.			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 		atent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:				

Art Unit: 2187

The instant application having application No. 10/673,664 has a total of 21 claims pending in the application; there are 3 independent claims and 18 dependent claims, all of which are ready for examination by the examiner.

INFORMATION CONCERNING CLAIMS:

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application No. 10/679,726

Art Unit: 2187

1. Claims 1-5, 8-12, and 15-19 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1-5, 8-12, and 15-19 of copending Application No. 10/679,726. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

2. Claims 1, 10, 15 of instant application (Application No. 10/673,664) are compared to claims 1, 8 and 15 of copending application (Application No. 10/679,726) in the following table:

Application No. 10/673,664

Claim 1: Claim 1: 1. In a data storage environment having a 1. In a data storage environment having a first volume of data denominated as the first volume of data denominated as the source being stored on a data storage source being stored on a data storage system, and a second volume of data system, and a second volume of data denominated as the clone and which has denominated as the clone and which has data content that is a copy of the data data content that is a copy of the data content of the source being stored on the content of the source being stored on the data storage system or on another data data storage system or on another data storage system, a method of managing storage system, a method of protecting the clone's data content during a restoration of data content during a restoration of the source, the method comprising the steps the source, the method comprising the of: steps of:

Art Unit: 2187

restoring the source by copying data content from the clone to overwrite the data content of the source, allowing host reads and writes to the source during the restore;

restoring the source by copying data content from the clone to overwrite the data content of the source; and allowing host reads and writes to the source during the restore;

if preserving the data content of the clone is selected, then not allowing the data content of the clone to be overwritten by host writes during the restoring step.

preserving the data content of clone by not allowing it to be overwritten by host writes during the restoring step.

Claim 8:

A system for managing data content during restoration of data from a second volume of data to a first volume of data, the system comprising:

Claim 8:

A system for <u>protecting</u> data content during restoration of data from a second volume of data to a first volume of data, the system comprising:

a data storage system having a first
volume of data denominated as the source
being stored on a data storage system,
and a second volume of data denominated
as the clone and which has data content

a data storage system having a first
volume of data denominated as the source
being stored on a data storage system,
and a second volume of data denominated
as the clone and which has data content

Art Unit: 2187

that is a copy of the data content of the source being stored on the data storage system or on another data storage system;

that is a copy of the data content of the source being stored on the data storage system or on another data storage system;

computer-executable program logic configured for causing the following computer-executed steps to occur:

computer-executable program logic configured for causing the following computer-executed steps to occur:

restoring the source by copying data content from the clone to overwrite the data content of the source,

restoring the source by copying data content from the clone to overwrite the data content of the source;

allowing host reads and writes to the source during the restore;

and allowing host write request during the restore; and

if preserving the data content of the clone is selected, then not allowing the data content of the clone to be overwritten by host writes during the restoring step.

preserving the data content of clone by not allowing it to be overwritten by host writes during the restoring step.

Claim 15:

A program product for use in a data

Claim 15:

A program product for use in a data

Art Unit: 2187

storage environment and being for
managing data content during restoration
of data from a second volume of data to a
first volume of data, wherein the data
storage environment includes:

a data storage system having a first volume of data denominated as the source being stored on a data storage system, and a second volume of data denominated as the clone and which has data content that is a copy of the data content of the source being stored on the data storage system;

the program product includes computerexecutable logic contained on a computerreadable medium and which is configured for causing the following computer executed steps to occur:

restoring the source by copying data

storage environment and being for

protecting data content during restoration

of data from a second volume of data to a

first volume of data, wherein the data

storage environment includes:

a data storage system having a first volume of data denominated as the source being stored on a data storage system, and a second volume of data denominated as the clone and which has data content that is a copy of the data content of the source being stored on the data storage system or on another data storage system;

the program product includes computerexecutable logic contained on a computerreadable medium and which is configured for causing the following computer executed steps to occur:

restoring the source by copying data

Art Unit: 2187

content from the clone to overwrite the	content from the clone to overwrite the
data content of the source,	data content of the source;
allowing host reads and writes to the	and allowing host write request during the
allowing host reads and writes to the	and anowing host write request during the
source during the restore;	restore; and
if preserving the data content of the clone	preserving the data content of clone by not
is selected, then not allowing the data	allowing it to be overwritten by host writes
content of the clone to be overwritten by	during the restoring step.
content of the clothe to be overwritten by	during the restoring step.
host writes during the restoring step.	

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

The copending Application (10/679,726) claims: "...managing data content ..."

(e.g., see claim 8, line 1). The instant Application (10/673,664) claims: "...protecting the data content..." (e.g., see claim 8, line 1). The specification defines:

"this application generally related to data storage management, and more particularly to management related to copying or replication of data in a data storage environment" (see lines 10-12 in page 2 of the specification).

Art Unit: 2187

"Once data is replicated, copied, or otherwise backup it may be used for a recovery or restoration". (Lines 7-8 in page 4)

"...this invention is a system and method for protecting data during a recovery or restoration process." (Lines 5-6 in page 4)

Therefore, it can be interpreted that managing data includes protecting data and therefore obviousness-type double patenting rejection as indicated above would apply.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4, 11, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

3. In regard to claims 4, 11, and 18 the expression "...extents of the clone that may be different from the clone and the source" is unclear. The specification does not explain this limitation. In addition the expression "may be" is an indefinite term.

A clarification/correction is required.

Art Unit: 2187

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,898,681 B2 to Young.

NOTE: The Young reference is a U.S. patent that claims the rejected invention. An affidavit or declaration is inappropriate under 37 CFR 1.131(a) when the reference is claiming the same patentable invention. See MPEP § 2306. If the reference and this application are not commonly owned, the reference can only be overcome by establishing priority of invention through interference proceedings. See MPEP Chapter 2300 for information on initiating interference proceedings. If the reference and this application are commonly owned, the reference may be disqualified as prior art by an affidavit or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130. See MPEP § 718.

4. In regard to claim 1, Young teaches:

"In a data storage environment having a first volume of data denominated as the source being stored on a data storage system (column 4, lines 11-15; element 6 in Fig. 1), and a second volume of data denominated as the clone and which has data content that is a copy of the data content of the source being stored on the data storage system or on another data storage system (column 4, lines 11-15; element 8 in Fig. 1), a method of protecting the clone's data content during a restoration of the source," (e.g., see column 1, lines 61-64; column 7, lines 30-38; element 4 in Fig. 1). For example the master store or volume represents the first volume and shadow store or volume represents the clone volume recited in the claim. The shadow store contains the point in time copy of master data, which is used for controlling, or managing data during the

Art Unit: 2187

restoration the master or the source. When data is overwritten, a new point in time copy is created and the previous point time is protected (e.g., not overwritten).

"the method comprising the steps of:"

"restoring the source by copying data content from the clone to overwrite the data content of the source;" (e.g., see column 11, lines 55-62).

"allowing host reads and writes to the source during the restore;" (e.g., see column 7, lines 18-38; column 8, lines 56-61).

"preserving the data content of clone by not allowing it to be overwritten by host writes during the restoring step." (e.g., see column 1, lines 61-64; column 20, lines 4-7). For example whether to overwrite or protect the point in time copy is user's selectable.

- 5. In regard to claims 2, 9, and 16 Young teaches:
- "wherein the source and the clone are each represented by respective first and second logical units." (column 2, lines 35-40; column 4, lines 11-15). For example Young teaches that that a plurality of volumes are grouped together as a single logical device (e.g., source logical unit). The point in time copy of logical device is stored in shadow storage, which is in separate volumes, or logical device, which represents the clone logical unit recited in the claim.
- 3. In regard to claims 3, 5, 10, 12, 17, and 19 Young teaches: "wherein a map denominated as a protected restore map is used to track extents of the source that are modified during the restoring and preserving steps." (e.g., see column 8, lines 22-40; Fig. 6a). For example when a block in the master store is overwritten (e.g., modified), a corresponding bit in the shadow bit map is set to logic 1.

Art Unit: 2187

4. In regard to claims 4, 11 and 18 the Examiner was not able to understand what the Applicant means by the expression: "...extents of the clone that may be different from the clone and the source". The Examiner search the specification to find support for this limitation, but was unable to find explanation of this limitation. In the following rejection of these claims, the examiner assumes "...extents of the clone that may be different between the clone and the source" (emphasis added).

Referring again to claims 4, 11 and 18 Young teaches:

"wherein a map denominated as a clone delta map is used to track extents of the clone that may be different from the clone and the source." (e.g., see column 8, lines 22-40; Fig. 6a). For example copy bit map which represent clone delta map recited in the claim is used to track the data blocks which are different between the master and shadow stores. A logic 1 in the copy bit map indicates that the corresponding data in the master store is different from the shadow store. When data copied from the master to the shadow store the corresponding bit in the copy bit map is being set to a logic 0 indicating that both master store and shadow store contain identical data

6. In regard to claims 6, 13 and 20 Young teaches:

"wherein the clone delta map is used to copy only extents that are different between the clone and its source during the restoration step." (e.g., see column 10, lines 50-53; column 14, lines 26-31; Fig. 5a). For example setting of a bit in the bit map (e.g., a "logic 1") indicates that its corresponding data block in the shadow store is different from the one in the master store. The data blocks that have their corresponding bits in the bit map set will be copied to the master store during the restoration or recovery.

Art Unit: 2187

7. In regard to claims 7, 14 and 21 Young teaches:

"wherein the protected restore map is coordinated with the clone delta map for efficient processing of write data to the source." (e.g., see column 6, lines 66-67; column 7, lines 1-43; Fig. 5a-5e). For example the shadow bit map coordinated with the copy bit map for efficient of processing of write data to the master store.

8. In regard to claim 8, Young teaches:

A system (column 22, lines 24-26) for protecting data content during restoration of data from a second volume of data to a first volume of data," (e.g., see column 1, lines 61-64; column 7, lines 30-38; element 4 in Fig. 1).

"the system comprising:"

"a data storage system having a first volume of data denominated as the source being stored on a data storage system (column 4, lines 11-15; element 6 in Fig. 1), and a second volume of data denominated as the clone and which has data content that is a copy of the data content of the source being stored on the data storage system or on another data storage system;" (e.g., see column 4, lines 11-15; element 8 in Fig. 1). "computer-executable program logic configured for causing the following computer-executed steps to occur;" (e.g., see column 25, lines 1-31; column 27, lines 38-46). "restoring the source by copying data content from the clone to overwrite the data content of the source;" (e.g., see column 11, lines 55-62).
"allowing host reads and writes to the source during the restore;" (e.g., see column 7,

"allowing host reads and writes to the source during the restore;" (e.g., see column 7, lines 18-38; column 8, lines 56-61).

Art Unit: 2187

"preserving the data content of clone by not allowing it to be overwritten by host writes during the restoring step." (e.g., see column 1, lines 61-64; column 20, lines 4-7).

15. In regard to claim 15, Young teaches:

A program product (e.g., column 4, lines 17-19) for use in a data storage environment and being for protecting data content during restoration of data from a second volume of data to a first volume of data," (e.g., see column 1, lines 61-64; column 7, lines 30-38; element 4 in Fig. 1).

"wherein the data storage environment includes:"

"a data storage system having a first volume of data denominated as the source being stored on a data storage system (column 4, lines 11-15; element 6 in Fig. 1), and a second volume of data denominated as the clone and which has data content that is a copy of the data content of the source being stored on the data storage system or on another data storage system;" (e.g., see column 4, lines 11-15; element 8 in Fig. 1). "the program product includes computer-executable logic contained on a computer-readable medium and which is configured for causing the following computer-executed steps to occur:" (e.g., see column 25, lines 1-31; column 27, lines 38-46). "restoring the source by copying data content from the clone to overwrite the data content of the source;" (e.g., see column 11, lines 55-62).

"allowing host reads and writes to the source during the restore;" (e.g., see column 7, lines 18-38; column 8, lines 56-61).

"preserving the data content of clone by not allowing it to be overwritten by host writes during the restoring step." (e.g., see column 1, lines 61-64; column 20, lines 4-7).

Art Unit: 2187

11. In regard to claim 24, Young teaches:

"An apparatus (e.g., column 2, lines 49-50; Fig. 2) for use in a data storage environment and being for managing data content during restoration of data from a second volume of data to a first volume of data,

Page 14

"wherein the data storage environment includes:" (column 7, lines 30-38; element 4 in Fig. 1).

"a data storage system having a first volume of data denominated as the source being stored on a data storage system (column 4, lines 11-15; element 6 in Fig. 1), and a second volume of data denominated as the clone and which has data content that is a copy of the data content of the source being stored on the data storage system or on another data storage system;" (column 4, lines 11-15; element 8 in Fig. 1).

"the apparatus including:"

"means for restoring the source by copying data content from the clone to overwrite the data content of the source;" (column 11, lines 55-62).

"means for receiving a host write request during the restoring step;" (column 7, lines 18-38; column 8, lines 56-61).

"means for determining extents on the source that would be affected by the host write request if carried out;" (column 15, lines 34-41; Fig. 5a).

"means for setting an indicator to indicate that the extents need to be re-copied if any extents affected are involved in the restoring step." (column 15, lines 34-41; Fig. 5a).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon are as follows:

- 1. U. S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0260873 to Watanabe describes Method and apparatus for managing replication volumes.
- 2. U. S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0177322 to Crockett et al. describes

 Synchronization and resynchronization of loosely-coupled copy operations between a

 primary and a remote secondary DASD volume under concurrent updating.
- 3. U. S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0115432A1 to Biessener et al. describes Data backup and restoration using dynamic virtual storage.
- 4. U. S. Patent No. 5,592,618 to Micka et al. describes Remote copy secondary data copy validation-audit function.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Hashem Farrokh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4193. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald A Sparks, can be reached on (571) 272-4201.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published application may be obtained from either private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished application is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

Art Unit: 2187

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBS) at

866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HF.

2005-10-07

DONALD SPARKS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 16